Geometric Quantization of Relativistic Hamiltonian Mechanics

G. Sardanashvily¹

Received December 4, 2002

A relativistic Hamiltonian mechanical system is seen as a conservative Dirac constraint system on the cotangent bundle of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. We provide geometric quantization of this cotangent bundle where the quantum constraint serves as a relativistic quantum equation.

KEY WORDS: geometric quantization; quantum constraint; relativistic mechanics.

Both relativistic and nonrelativistic mechanical systems on a configuration space Q can be seen as conservative Dirac constraint systems on the cotangent bundle T^*Q of Q, but occupy its different subbundles. Therefore, one can follow the precedent of geometric quantization of nonrelativistic time-dependent mechanics in order to quantize relativistic mechanics.

Recall that, given a symplectic manifold (Z, Ω) and a Hamiltonian H on Z, a Dirac constraint system on a closed imbedded submanifold $i_N : N \to Z$ of Z is defined as a Hamiltonian system on N provided with the pullback presymplectic form $\Omega_N = i_N^* \Omega$ and the pullback Hamiltonian $i_N^* H$ (Gotay *et al.*, 1978; Mangiarotti and Sardanashvily, 1998; Muñoz-Lecanda, 1989). Its solution is a vector field γ on N, which fulfils the equation

$$\gamma \rfloor \Omega_N + i_N^* \, dH = 0.$$

Let N be coisotropic. Then a solution exists if the Poisson bracket $\{H, f\}$ vanishes on N whenever f is a function vanishing on N. It is the Hamiltonian vector field of H on Z restricted to N.

A configuration space of nonrelativistic time-dependent mechanics (henceforth NRM) of *m* degrees of freedom is an (m + 1)-dimensional smooth fibre bundle $Q \to \mathbb{R}$ over the time axis \mathbb{R} (Mangiarotti and Sardanashvily, 1998; Sardanashvily, 1998). It is coordinated by $(q^{\lambda}) = (q^0, q^i)$, where q^0 is the standard

¹Department of Theoretical Physics, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, 117234, Moscow, Russia; e-mail: sard@grav.phys.msu.su.

Cartesian coordinate on \mathbb{R} . Let T^*Q be the cotangent bundle of Q equipped with the induced coordinates $(q^{\lambda}, p_{\lambda} = \dot{q}_{\lambda})$ with respect to the holonomic coframes $\{dq^{\lambda}\}$. Provided with the canonical symplectic form

$$\Omega = dp_{\lambda} \wedge dq^{\lambda}, \tag{1}$$

the cotangent bundle T^*Q plays the role of a homogeneous momentum phase space of NRM. Its momentum phase space is the vertical cotangent bundle V^*Q of $Q \to \mathbb{R}$ coordinated by (q^{λ}, q^i) . A Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} of NRM is defined as a section $p_0 = -\mathcal{H}$ of the fibre bundle $T^*Q \to V^*Q$. Then the momentum phase space of NRM can be identified with the image N of \mathcal{H} in T^*Q , which is the one-codimensional (consequently, coisotropic) imbedded submanifold given by the constraint

$$\mathcal{H}_T = p_o + \mathcal{H}(q^{\lambda}, p_k) = 0.$$

Furthermore, a solution of a nonrelativistic Hamiltonian system with a Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} is the restriction γ to $N \cong V^*Q$ of the Hamiltonian vector field of \mathcal{H}_T on T^*Q . It obeys the equation $\gamma \rfloor \Omega_N = 0$ (Mangiarotti and Sardanashvily, 1998; Sardanashvily, 1998). Moreover, one can show that geometric quantization of V^*Q is equivalent to geometric quantization of the cotangent bundle T^*Q , where the quantum constraint $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_T \psi = 0$ on sections ψ of the quantum bundle serves as the Schrödinger equation (Giachetta *et al.*, 2002a,b). This quantization is a variant of quantization of presymplectic manifolds via coisotropic imbeddings (Gotay and Śniatycki, 1981).

A configuration space of relativistic mechanics (henceforth RM) is an oriented pseudo-Riemannian manifold (Q, g), coordinated by (q^{λ}) . Its momentum phase space is the cotangent bundle T^*Q provided with the symplectic form Ω (1). Note that one also considers another symplectic form $\Omega + F$, where F is the strength of an electromagnetic field (Śniatycki, 1980). A relativistic Hamiltonian is defined as a smooth real function H on T^*Q (Mangiarotti and Sardanashvily, 1998; Rovelli, 1991; Sardanashvily, 1998). Then a relativistic Hamiltonian system is described as a Dirac constraint system on the subspace N of T^*Q given by the equation

$$H_T = g_{\mu\nu}\partial^{\mu}H\partial^{\nu}H - 1 = 0.$$
⁽²⁾

Similarly to geometric quantization of NRM, we provide geometric quantization of the cotangent bundle T^*Q and characterize a quantum relativistic Hamiltonian system by the quantum constraint

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_T \psi = 0. \tag{3}$$

We choose the vertical polarization on T^*Q spanned by the tangent vectors ∂^{λ} . The corresponding quantum algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset C^{\infty}(T^*Q)$ consists of affine functions of momenta

$$f = a^{\lambda}(q^{\mu})p_{\lambda} + b(q^{\mu}) \tag{4}$$

Geometric Quantization of Relativistic Mechanics

on T^*Q . They are represented by the Schrödinger operators

$$\hat{f} = -ia^{\lambda}\partial_{\lambda} - \frac{i}{2}\partial_{\lambda}a^{\lambda} - \frac{i}{4}a^{\lambda}\partial_{\lambda}\ln(-g) + b, \quad g = \det(g_{\alpha\beta}), \tag{5}$$

in the space $\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(Q)$ of smooth complex functions on Q.

Note that the function H_T (2) need not belong to the quantum algebra A. Nevertheless, one can show that, if H_T is a polynomial of momenta of degree k, it can be represented as a finite composition

$$H_T = \sum_i f_{1i} \dots f_{ki} \tag{6}$$

of products of affine functions (4), i.e., as an element of the enveloping algebra \overline{A} of the Lie algebra A (Giachetta *et al.*, 2002a). Then it is quantized

$$H_T \mapsto \widehat{H}_T = \sum_i \widehat{f}_{1i} \dots \widehat{f}_{ki} \tag{7}$$

as an element of \overline{A} . However, the representation (6) and, consequently, the quantization (7) fail to be unique.

Let us provide the above mentioned formulation of classical RM as a constraint autonomous mechanics on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (Q, g) (Giachetta *et al.*, 1999; Mangiarotti and Sardanashvily, 1998, 2000). Note that it need not be a space-time manifold.

The space of relativistic velocities of RM on Q is the tangent bundle TQ of Q equipped with the induced coordinates $(q^{\lambda}, \dot{q}^{\lambda})$ with respect to the holonomic frames $\{\partial_{\lambda}\}$. Relativistic motion is located in the subbundle W_g of hyperboloids

$$g_{\mu\nu}(q)\dot{q}^{\mu}\dot{q}^{\nu} - 1 = 0 \tag{8}$$

of TQ. It is described by a second-order dynamic equation

$$\ddot{q}^{\lambda} = \Xi^{\lambda}(q^{\mu}, \dot{q}^{\mu}) \tag{9}$$

on Q, which preserves the subbundle (8), i.e.,

$$(\dot{q}^{\lambda}\partial_{\lambda} + \Xi^{\lambda}\dot{\partial}_{\lambda})(g_{\mu\nu}\dot{q}^{\mu}\dot{q}^{\nu} - 1) = 0, \quad \dot{\partial}_{\lambda} = \partial/\partial \dot{q}^{\lambda}.$$

This condition holds if the right-hand side of the Eq. (9) takes the form

$$\Xi^{\lambda} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \lambda \\ \mu \nu \end{array} \right\} \dot{q}^{\mu} \dot{q}^{\nu} + F^{\lambda},$$

where $\{ \substack{\lambda \\ \mu\nu} \}$ are Cristoffel symbols of a metric *g*, while F^{λ} obey the relation $g_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu}\dot{q}^{\nu} = 0$. In particular, if the dynamic equation (9) is a geodesic equation

$$\ddot{q}^{\lambda} = K^{\lambda}_{\mu} \dot{q}^{\mu}$$

with respect to a (nonlinear) connection

$$K = dq^{\lambda} \otimes \left(\partial_{\lambda} + K^{\mu}_{\lambda} \dot{\partial}_{\mu}\right)$$

Sardanashvily

on the tangent bundle $TQ \rightarrow Q$, this connections split into the sum

$$K^{\lambda}_{\mu} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \lambda \\ \mu \nu \end{array} \right\} \dot{q}^{\nu} + F^{\lambda}_{\mu} \tag{10}$$

of the Levi-Civita connection of g and a soldering form

$$F = g^{\lambda\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \, dq^{\mu} \otimes \dot{\partial}_{\lambda}, \quad F_{\mu\nu} = -F_{\nu\mu}.$$

As was mentioned above, the momentum phase space of RM on Q is the cotangent bundle T^*Q provided with the symplectic form Ω (1). Let H be a smooth real function on T^*Q such that the morphism

$$\ddot{H}: T^*Q \to TQ, \quad \dot{q}^\mu = \partial^\mu H,$$
 (11)

is a bundle isomorphism. Then the inverse image $N = \tilde{H}^{-1}(W_g)$ of the subbundle of hyperboloids W_g (8) is a one-codimensional (consequently, coisotropic) closed imbedded subbundle of T^*Q given by the constraint $H_T = 0$ (2). We say that His a relativistic Hamiltonian if the Poisson bracket $\{H, H_T\}$ vanishes on N. This means that the Hamiltonian vector field

$$\gamma = \partial^{\lambda} H \partial_{\lambda} - \partial_{\lambda} H \partial^{\lambda} \tag{12}$$

of H preserves the constraint N and, restricted to N, it obeys the Hamilton equation

$$\gamma \rfloor \Omega_N + i_N^* \, dH = 0 \tag{13}$$

of a Dirac constraint system on N with a Hamiltonian H.

The morphism (11) sends the vector field γ (12) onto the vector field

$$\psi_T = \dot{q}^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} + (\partial^{\mu} H \partial^{\lambda} \partial_{\mu} H - \partial_{\mu} H \partial^{\lambda} \partial^{\mu} H) \dot{\partial}_{\lambda}$$

on TQ. This vector field defines the second-order dynamic equation

$$\ddot{q}^{\lambda} = \partial^{\mu} H \partial^{\lambda} \partial_{\mu} H - \partial_{\mu} H \partial^{\lambda} \partial^{\mu} H \tag{14}$$

on Q, which preserves the subbundle of hyperboloids (8).

Example 1. The following is a basic example of relativistic Hamiltonian systems. Put

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} g^{\mu\nu} (p_{\mu} - b_{\mu}) (p_{\nu} - b_{\nu}),$$

where *m* is a constant and $b_{\mu} dq^{\mu}$ is a covector field on Q. Then $H_T = 2m^{-1}H - 1$ and, hence, $\{H, H_T\} = 0$. The constraint $H_T = 0$ defines a closed imbedded onecodimensional subbundle *N* of T^*Q . The Hamilton equation (13) takes the form $\gamma \rfloor \Omega_N = 0$. Its solution (12) reads

$$\dot{q}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{m} g^{\alpha \nu} (p_{\nu} - b_{\nu}),$$

700

$$\dot{p}_{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2m} \partial_{\alpha} g^{\mu\nu} (p_{\mu} - b_{\mu}) (p_{\nu} - b_{\nu}) + \frac{1}{m} g^{\mu\nu} (p_{\mu} - b_{\mu}) \partial_{\alpha} b_{\nu}$$

The corresponding second-order dynamic equation (14) on Q is

$$\ddot{q}^{\lambda} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda \\ \mu \nu \end{array} \right\} \dot{q}^{\mu} \dot{q}^{\nu} - \frac{1}{m} g^{\lambda \nu} F_{\mu \nu} \dot{q}^{\mu}, \tag{15}$$
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda \\ \mu \nu \end{array} \right\} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda \beta} (\partial_{\mu} g_{\beta \nu} + \partial_{\nu} g_{\beta \mu} - \partial_{\beta} g_{\mu \nu}), \quad F_{\mu \nu} = \partial_{\mu} b_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} b_{\mu}.$$

It is a geodesic equation with respect to the affine connection

$$K^{\lambda}_{\mu} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \lambda \\ \mu \nu \end{array} \right\} \dot{q}^{\nu} - \frac{1}{m} g^{\lambda \nu} F_{\mu \nu}$$

of type (10). For instance, let g be a metric gravitational field and let $b_{\mu} = eA_{\mu}$, where A_{μ} is an electromagnetic potential whose gauge holds fixed. Then the Eq. (15) is the well-known equation of motion of a relativistic massive charge in the presence of these fields.

Turn now to quantization of RM. We follow the standard geometric quantization of the cotangent bundle (Blattner, 1983; Śniatycki, 1980; Woodhouse, 1992). Because the canonical symplectic form Ω (1) on T^*Q is exact, the prequantum bundle is defined as a trivial complex line bundle *C* over T^*Q . Note that this bundle need no metaplectic correction since T^*X is endowed with canonical coordinates for the symplectic form Ω . Thus, *C* is a quantum bundle. Let its trivialization

$$C \cong T^* Q \times \mathbb{C} \tag{16}$$

hold fixed, and let $(q^{\lambda}, p_{\lambda}, c), c \in \mathbb{C}$, be the associated bundle coordinates. Then one can treat sections of *C* (16) as smooth complex functions on T^*Q . Note that another trivialization of *C* leads to an equivalent quantization of T^*Q .

The Kostant–Souriau prequantization formula associates to each smooth real function $f \in C^{\infty}(T^*Q)$ on T^*Q the first-order differential operator

$$\hat{f} = -i\nabla_{\vartheta_f} + f \tag{17}$$

on sections of *C*, where $\vartheta_f = \partial^{\lambda} f \partial_{\lambda} - \partial_{\lambda} f \partial^{\lambda}$ is the Hamiltonian vector field of *f* and ∇ is the covariant differential with respect to a suitable U(1)-principal connection *A* on *C*. This connection preserves the Hermitian metric $g(c, c') = c\overline{c'}$ on *C*, and its curvature form obeys the prequantization condition $R = i\Omega$. For the sake of simplicity, let us assume that *Q* and, consequently, T^*Q is simply connected. Then the connection *A* up to gauge transformations is

$$A = dp_{\lambda} \otimes \partial^{\lambda} + dq^{\lambda} \otimes (\partial_{\lambda} + icp_{\lambda}\partial_{c}), \tag{18}$$

and the prequantization operators (17) read

$$\hat{f} = -i\vartheta_f + (f - p_\lambda \partial^\lambda f).$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

Let us choose the vertical polarization on T^*Q . It is the vertical tangent bundle VT^*Q of the fibration $\pi: T^*Q \to Q$. As was mentioned above, the corresponding quantum algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset C^{\infty}(T^*Q)$ consists of affine functions f (4) of momenta p_{λ} . Its representation by operators (19) is defined in the space E of sections ρ of the quantum bundle C of compact support, which obey the condition $\nabla_{\vartheta}\rho = 0$ for any vertical Hamiltonian vector field ϑ on T^*Q . This condition takes the form

$$\partial_{\lambda} f \partial^{\lambda} \rho = 0, \quad \forall f \in C^{\infty}(Q).$$

It follows that elements of *E* are independent of momenta and, consequently, fail to be compactly supported, unless $\rho = 0$. This is the well-known problem of Schrödinger quantization that is solved as follows (Blattner, 1983; Giachetta *et al.*, 2002a,b).

Let $i_Q : Q \to T^*Q$ be the canonical zero section of the cotangent bundle T^*Q . Let $C_Q = i_Q^*C$ be the pullback of the bundle *C* (16) over *Q*. It is a trivial complex line bundle $C_Q = Q \times \mathbb{C}$ provided with the pullback Hermitian metric $g(c, c') = c\overline{c'}$ and the pullback

$$A_Q = i_Q^* A = dq^\lambda \otimes (\partial_\lambda + icp_\lambda \partial_c)$$

of the connection A (18) on C. Sections of C_Q are smooth complex functions on Q, but this bundle need metaplectic correction.

Let the cohomology group $H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ of Q be trivial. Then a metalinear bundle \mathcal{D} of complex half-forms on Q is defined. It admits the canonical lift of any vector field τ on Q such that the corresponding Lie derivative of its section reads

$$L_{\tau} = \tau^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\lambda} \tau^{\lambda}.$$

Let us consider the tensor product $Y = C_Q \otimes D$ over Q. Since the Hamiltonian vector fields

$$\vartheta_f = a^\lambda \partial_\lambda - (p_\mu \partial_\lambda a^\mu + \partial_\lambda b) \partial^\lambda$$

of functions f (4) are projected onto Q, one can assign to each element f of the quantum algebra A the first-order differential operator

$$\hat{f} = \left(-i\overline{\nabla}_{\pi\vartheta_f} + f\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id} + \mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathrm{L}_{\pi\vartheta_f} = -ia^{\lambda}\partial_{\lambda} - \frac{i}{2}\partial_{\lambda}a^{\lambda} + b$$

on sections ρ_Q of *Y*. For the sake of simplicity, let us choose a trivial metalinear bundle $\mathcal{D} \to Q$ associated to the orientation of *Q*. Its sections can be written in the form $\rho Q = (-g)^{1/4} \psi$, where ψ are smooth complex functions on *Q*. Then the quantum algebra \mathcal{A} can be represented by the operators $\hat{f}(5)$ in the space $\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(Q)$ of these functions. It is easily justified that these operators obey the Dirac condition

$$[\widehat{f}, \widehat{f'}] = -i\{\widehat{f, f'}\}.$$

Geometric Quantization of Relativistic Mechanics

Remark 1. One usually considers the subspace $E_Q \subset \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(Q)$ of functions of compact support. It is a pre-Hilbert space with respect to the nondegenerate Hermitian form

$$\langle \psi | \psi' \rangle = \int_{Q} \psi \overline{\psi'} (-g)^{1/2} d^{m+1} q.$$

It is readily observed that \hat{f} (5) are symmetric operators $\hat{f} = \hat{f}^*$ in E_Q , i.e., $\langle \hat{f}\psi | \psi' \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{f}\psi' \rangle$. In RM, the space E_Q however gets no physical meaning.

As was mentioned above, the function H_T (2) need not belong to the quantum algebra \mathcal{A} , but a polynomial function H_T can be quantized as an element of the enveloping algebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ by operators \widehat{H}_T (7). Then the quantum constraint (3) serves as a relativistic quantum equation.

Example 2. Let us consider a massive relativistic charge in Example 1 whose relativistic Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} g^{\mu\nu} (p_{\mu} - eA_{\mu}) (p_{\nu} - eA_{\nu}).$$

It defines the constraint

$$H_T = \frac{1}{m^2} g^{\mu\nu} (p_\mu - eA_\mu) (p_\nu - eA_\nu) - 1 = 0.$$
 (20)

Let us represent the function H_T (20) as the symmetric product

$$H_T = \frac{(-g)^{-1/4}}{m} \cdot (p_\mu - eA_\mu) \cdot (-g)^{-1/4} \cdot g^{\mu\nu} \cdot (-g)^{-1/4} \cdot x^{\mu\nu} \cdot (-g)^{-1/4} \cdot$$

of affine functions of momenta. It is quantized by the rule (7), where

$$(-g)^{-1/4} \circ \hat{\partial}_{\alpha} \circ (-g)^{-1/4} = -i \partial_{\alpha}.$$

Then the well-known relativistic quantum equation

$$(-g)^{-1/2} [(\partial_{\mu} - ieA_{\mu})g^{\mu\nu}(-g)^{1/2}(\partial_{\nu} - ieA_{\nu}) + m^{2}]\psi = 0$$

is reproduced up to the factor $(-g)^{-1/2}$.

REFERENCES

Blattner, R. (1983). Non-Linear Partial Differential Operators and Quantization Proceedings, Clausthall, 1981, Springer, New York, pp. 209–241.

Giachetta, G., Mangiarotti, L., and Sardanashvily, G. (1999). International Journal of Theoretical Physics 38, 2703.

- Giachetta, G., Mangiarotti, L., and Sardanashvily, G. (2002a). Journal of Mathematical Physics 43, 56.
- Giachetta, G., Mangiarotti, L., and Sardanashvily, G. (2002b). Journal of Mathematical Physics 43, 2882.
- Gotay, M., Nester, J., and Hinds, G. (1978). Journal of Mathematical Physics 19, 2388.
- Gotay, M. and Śniatycki, J. (1981). Communications in Mathematical Physics 82, 237.
- Mangiarotti, L. and Sardanashvily, G. (1998). Gauge Mechanics, World Scientific, Singapore.
- Mangiarotti, L. and Sardanashvily, G. (2000). Journal of Mathematical Physics 41, 835.
- Muñoz-Lecanda, M. (1989). International Journal of Theoretical Physics 28, 1405.
- Rovelli, C. (1991). Physical Review D 43, 442.
- Sardanashvily, G. (1998). Journal of Mathematical Physics 39, 2714.
- Śniatycki, J. (1980). Geometric Quantization and Quantum Mechanics, Springer, Berlin.
- Woodhouse, N. (1992). Geometric Quantization, Clarendon Press, Oxford.